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#### Abstract

This paper presents the method of fundamental solution for water wave-structure interaction analysis with multiple cylinders. The method of fundamental solution (MFS) is strongform of boundary meshless collocation method. Its numerical solution is approximated by a linear combination of fundamental solutions in terms of sources, which are placed on the fictitious boundary outside the domain of the problem to avoid the singularities of fundamental solutions at origin. In this study, two types of ocean engineering structures, circular and elliptical cylinders are considered. Numerical results show the efficiency and accuracy of the method in comparison with the singular boundary method (SBM). The near trapped mode phenomenon of four and sixteen hard cylinder structures are revisited.
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## 1. Introduction

Water wave-structure interaction is one of important topic and has been attracted the intention of engineers in ocean engineering communities ${ }^{[1-4]}$. It is a phenomenon which occurs in a system where a water wave causes the structure to deform, in turn, changes the boundary condition of water fluid system. The interactions of multiple-cylinder-array structure may result in hydrodynamic loads and wave run up on the individual-cylinder structure that differ significantly from the loads and run up they would experience in isolation ${ }^{[5-12]}$. And it can cause significant damage to offshore structures. Therefore, numerical simulation of these two water wavestructure interaction problems is essential to provide some reference on effective design for safe and economic offshore structures. Accurate computation of this phenomenon has impact on offshore production and the economics of countries.

Several numerical methods have been proposed to solve the water wave-structure interaction problems ${ }^{[6-8]}$. However their numerical method require the construction of a tedious and difficult mesh and are computationally costly and mathematically troublesome. To overcome these difficulties, investigators proposed new numerical methods, which called boundary meshless collocation methods ${ }^{[9-15]}$.

In this paper, we propose the method of fundamental solution (MFS), which is a well-known strong-form boundary meshless collocation method. The method requires fictitious boundary to eliminate the numerical integral of the singular fundamental solutions in the boundary element method (BEM) ${ }^{[16-18]}$. The MFS is available for different type of problems including elliptic, timedependent parabolic, free boundary, and coefficient and boundary inverse problems ${ }^{[19-21]}$. The method is practicable and easy to implement in complex geometry problems. The method has also advantages of high convergence rate.

In this study, two types of ocean engineering structure, circular and elliptical cylinders, are considered. The governing equation and the method of fundamental solution (MFS) for water wave-structure are introduced in the second section. The third section presents the accuracy, efficiency of the MFS in comparison with the analytical solution and the near-trapped mode phenomena for multiple cylinders. The fourth section concludes this article with some remarks.

## 2. Water wave-structure interaction analysis

### 2.1. Governing equation

Assuming that the ocean water is incompressible, non-viscous and irrotational fluid. The governing equation of water wave-structure interaction is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=0, \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right) \in \Omega \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla, \Omega$ and $\Phi$ are the Laplace operator, the domain of interest and the velocity potential, respectively. The boundary conditions are

## - Bottom boundary condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial n}=0, \quad x_{3}=-H\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Kinematic free-surface boundary condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{3}}, \quad x_{3}=\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Dynamic free-surface boundary condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left\{(\nabla \Phi)^{2}\right\}+g \alpha=0, \quad x_{3}=\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig.1. Spatial representation of surface elevation $\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)$
By using the method of separation of variables, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathfrak{R e}\left\{u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{3}\right)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f\left(x_{3}\right)=-i g A / \omega \cosh (k H) / \cosh \left[k\left(x_{3}+H\right)\right]$, and wavenumber $k$ is the real root of the dispersion relationship $\omega^{2}=g k \tanh (k H)$, in which $g, A, \omega$ and $H$ are the acceleration due to the gravity, the amplitude of incident wave, the angular frequency and the water depth, respectively.

Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (1) - (4) and by removing the depth dependence, 3D water wave problems as shown in Fig. 1 can be reduced to 2D water wave problem shown in Fig. 2

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\nabla^{2}+k^{2}\right) u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0, \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega  \tag{6}\\
u(x)=\bar{u}, \quad x \in \Gamma_{D}  \tag{7}\\
q(x)=\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n}=\bar{q}, \quad x \in \Gamma_{N}  \tag{8}\\
\lim _{r_{j} \rightarrow \infty} r_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(\partial / \partial r_{j}-i k\right) u(x)=0 \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u, D, N, r_{j}$ and $n$ are the potential field, unbounded region in $\mathfrak{R}^{2}$, the Euclidean distance and the unit outward normal vector, respectively. And $i=\sqrt{-1}, \bar{u}, \bar{q}$ are known functions, Eq. (9) is the famous Sommerfeld radiation condition at infinity.


Fig. 2. Sketch of 2D water wave problems

### 2.2. The method of fundamental solution (MFS)

The approximate solution in the MFS is given by

$$
\begin{cases}u\left(x_{m}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{j} G\left(x_{m}, s_{j}\right), & x_{m} \in \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{D}  \tag{10}\\ q\left(x_{m}\right)=\frac{\partial u\left(x_{m}\right)}{\partial n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{j} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{m}, s_{j}\right)}{\partial n}, & x_{m} \in \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{N}\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{j}, \Omega, \Gamma_{D}$ and $\Gamma_{N}$ represent the $j^{\text {th }}$ unknown coefficients to be determined, the unbounded domain, the essential boundary (Dirichlet) and natural boundary (Neumann) conditions, respectively. The function $G\left(x_{m}, s_{j}\right)$ is a fundamental solution given by $G\left(x_{m}, s_{j}\right)=\frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k r_{m j}\left(x_{m}, s_{j}\right)\right)$ for exterior problems in 2D. In which $i=\sqrt{-1}$, Euclidean distance $r_{m j}=\left\|x_{m}-s_{j}\right\|_{2}$ and $H_{0}^{(1)}, x_{m}, s_{j}$ denote the Hankel function of the first kind of order zero, the $m^{\text {th }}$ collocation points on the physical boundary and the $j^{\text {th }}$ source points which lie outside $\Omega$, respectively.


Fig. 3. Sketch and node distribution of the MFS for exterior problems

- The mathematical model for multiple cylinder problems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\nabla^{2}+k^{2}\right) u^{p}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0, \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{1}, \quad p=1,2, \ldots n  \tag{11}\\
q=\frac{\partial u^{p}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{\partial n}=0, \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Gamma_{\infty}, \quad p=1,2, \ldots n \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{\partial\left(u-u_{i}\right)}{\partial r}-i k\left(u-u_{i}\right)\right]=0, \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Gamma_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $u=u_{i}+u_{s}$, in which $u_{i}, u_{s}$ are the incident wave and scattered wave, respectively.

- The fundamental solutions (MFS) for multiple cylinders is represented as

$$
\begin{cases}u_{2}^{p}\left(x_{m}\right)=u_{i}\left(x_{m}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N^{(p)}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{j}^{(p)} \bar{G}\left(x_{m}, s_{j}^{(p)}\right), & x_{m} \in \Omega_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{D}  \tag{12}\\ q_{2}^{p}\left(x_{m}\right)=\frac{\partial u_{i}\left(x_{m}\right)}{\partial n}+\sum_{j=1}^{N^{(p)}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{j}^{(p)} \frac{\partial \bar{G}\left(x_{m}, s_{j}^{(p)}\right)}{\partial n}, & x_{m} \in \Omega_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{N}, \\ \lim _{r_{j} \rightarrow \infty} r_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(\partial / \partial r_{j}-i k\right)\left(u_{2}-u_{i}\right)=0, & x_{m} \in \Gamma_{\infty}\end{cases}
$$

where $p$ represent the number of cylinder $\left(N=\sum_{p=1}^{n} N^{(p)}\right)$ and the fundamental solution $G\left(x_{m}, s_{j}\right)=\bar{G}\left(x_{m}, s_{j}\right)=\frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k r_{m j}\left(x_{m}, s_{j}\right)\right)$ for exterior problems in 2D, Euclidean distance $r_{m j}=\left\|x_{m}-s_{j}\right\|_{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{j}, n_{x}$ and $N$ represent the $j^{\text {th }}$ unknown coefficient to be determined by the imposing the boundary condition, and the outward normal unit on the collocation points $x_{m}$ and the number of source points $s_{j}$, respectively.
For the multiple cylinders the unknown coefficients and the source points are represented as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{\varepsilon_{j}}=\left[\left\{\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}^{(1)}\right\},\left\{\bar{\varepsilon}_{2}^{(2)}\right\}, \ldots \ldots . .,\left\{\left\{_{N}^{-(n)}\right\}\right]\right.  \tag{13}\\
s_{j}=\left[s_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots s_{N^{(1)}}^{(n)}, \ldots s_{1}^{(n)} \ldots ., s_{N^{(n)}}^{(n)}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 3. Numerical results

The efficiency, accuracy, and convergence of the MFS are tested by calculating the root mean square error (RMSE), the relative and error (Rerr), which are respectively defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{RMSE}(u) & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{N T} \sum_{i=1}^{N T}|u(i)-\bar{u}(i)|^{2}}  \tag{14}\\
\operatorname{Re} r r(u) & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{N T} \sum_{i=1}^{N T}\left|\frac{u(i)-\bar{u}(i)}{\bar{u}(i)}\right|} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ and $\bar{u}$ are the numerical and the exact solutions of the total potential field. $N T$ is the number of tested points in the domain, which are uniform angular distributed on the boundary.

### 3.1 Example 1: Water wave-structure interaction with one circular cylinder

We consider a plan wave $u_{i}=e^{i k\left(x_{1} \cos \theta_{n c c}+x_{2} \sin \theta_{i n c}\right)}$ scattered by rigid vertical cylinder (Neumann type). The total field of scattering is $u=u_{i}+u_{s}$ which satisfies the Helmholtz equation in 2D (Eq. 6). The boundary condition on rigid cylinder is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}(x)}{\partial n}+\frac{\partial u_{s}(x)}{\partial n}=0, \quad x \in \Omega \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the analytical solution of scattering field is given by ${ }^{[22]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{s}(r, \theta)=-\frac{J_{1}^{(1)}(k p)}{H_{1}^{(1)}(k p)} H_{0}^{(1)}(k r)-2 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} i^{t} \frac{-k p J_{t-1}^{(1)}(k p)+t J_{t}^{(1)}(k p)}{k p H_{t-1}^{(1)}(k p)-t H_{t-1}^{(1)}(k p)} H_{t}^{(1)}(k r) \cos (t \theta) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{t}^{(1)}, J_{t}^{(1)},(r, \theta), k$ and $p$ represent the $t^{t h}$ order Hankel function of the first kind, the $t^{\text {th }}$ order Bessel function of the first kind, polar coordinates of the domain point, the wavenumber and the radii of the circular domain, respectively.

Fig. 4 represents errors analysis of the MFS for various numbers of nodes in example 1 from a rigid cylinder. We fixed the fictitious radius, the wavenumber $k=1$, incident wave $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$ and the fictitious radius $r=0.7$. From this figure, we can observe that the numbers of $N=100$ provide better results.

Fig. 5 shows the analytical and numerical solutions from rigid cylinder with wavenumber $k=1$, fictitious radius 0.7 incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$. MFS and analytical solutions are in good agreement. The method rapidly converges.


Fig. 4 Errors analysis of the MFS for various numbers of nodes with fictitious radius $r=0.7$, with wavenumber $k=1$, incident wave $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$


Fig. 5. Numerical and analytical solutions of rigid cylinder with $k=1$ : (a) Analytical solution, (b) MFS solution with fictitious radius 0.7 and incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$.

### 3.2 Example 2: Water wave-structure interaction with multiple cylinders

## a) - Water wave-structure interaction analysis with four circular and elliptical cylinders

We consider four rigid cylinders subjected to a plan wave $u_{i}=e^{i k\left(x_{1} \cos \theta_{\mu_{m c}}+x_{2} \sin \theta_{\theta_{i c} c}\right)}$ with incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0^{\circ}$. The radius of each circular cylinders is $a=0.4$ separation central distance of two cylinders $b=0.5$ as shown in Fig. 6(a). The semi-major axis and semi-minor axis of elliptical cylinders are $a_{j}=0.4$ and $b_{j}=0.2(j=1,2,3,4)$, respectively (Fig. 6(ii)). The separation distance between each elliptical cylinder to the origin of the system is $O_{j} O=0.6(j=1,2,3,4)$.


Fig. 6. Sketch of 2D water wave interactions by an array of four rigid cylinders for neartrapped mode analysis: (a) circular cylinder and (b) elliptical cylinder

Fig. 7 plots the variation of free-surface elevation in the vicinity of four rigid cylinders from the SBM (Fig.7a) and MFS (Fig.7b) with incident angles $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0^{\circ}$ and at wavenumber $k a=4.08482$ for circular cylinders (Fig.7i) and at wavenumber $k a=3.1497$ for elliptical cylinders (Fig.7ii).

The near-trapped mode phenomenon is revisited ${ }^{[4,10]}$, the maximum amplitude is 160 times of amplitude of incident wave for circular cylinders (Fig.7i) and 120 for elliptical cylinders (Fig.7ii). The SBM and MFS are in good agreement.


Fig. 7. The variation of free-surface elevation in the vicinity of four rigid cylinders with incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0^{\circ}$ from (i) circular cylinders at wave number $k a=4.08482$ and (ii) elliptical cylinders at $k a=3.1497$ : (a) SBM solution and (b) MFS solution
b) - Water wave-structure interaction analysis with sixteen circular and elliptical cylinders

We consider a plane $u_{i}=e^{i k\left(x_{1} \cos \theta_{i n c}+x_{2} \sin \theta_{i n c}\right)}$ scattered by an array of sixteen hard cylinders. In the simulation, we set $a=0.4, b=0.5, k a=4.08482, H=2$ and $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$ for circular cylinders and $a=0.4, b=0.2, k a=3.1497, H=2, d=0.6$ and $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$ for elliptical cylinders.

Fig. 8a displays the free-surface elevation in the vicinity of sixteen rigid circular cylinders with wavenumber $k a=4.08482$, incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$ and number of node $N=100$ of each cylinder. The near-trapped mode phenomenon ${ }^{[4,}{ }^{10]}$ is revisited, the maximum amplitude of runup is 120 times. We can observe from this figure that the distribution of the wave amplitude inner sides of the cylinders is not the same. The coming wave impinging the array cylinders oscillates and has its maximum (crest) and minimum (through). Here, the wave elevation is maximum inner sides of the four cylinders at the top and bottom and it is minimum inner sides of array of cylinders at the middle.

Fig. 8b shows the free-surface elevation in the vicinity of sixteen rigid elliptical cylinders with wavenumber ka=3.1497, incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$ and number of node $N=300$. The maximum value appears on the inner sides of the cylinders is over 70 times of incident wave amplitude. Here, the wave elevation is minimum inner sides of the third, eighth, ninth and fourteenth cylinders and it is maximum inner sides of others cylinders.


Fig. 9. The free-surface elevation in the vicinity of sixteen hard cylinders with incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$ and number of node $N=100$ of each cylinder: (a) circular cylinders, wavenumber $k a=4.08482$, (b) elliptical cylinders, wavenumber $k a=3.1497$

## c) - Numerical Investigation

In this section, we study the near-trapped mode in the case of irregular arrangement of ten and sixteen cylinders with incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$. The displacement of each cylinder center apart from its original periodical position is defined as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta x_{j}=\gamma_{j}(b-a) \tau \cos \left(2 \pi \gamma_{j}\right)  \tag{18}\\
\Delta y_{j}=\gamma_{j}(b-a) \tau \sin \left(2 \pi \gamma_{j}\right)
\end{array},\right.
$$

where $\gamma_{j}, \tau$ and $a$ represent a random variable in the range of [ 0,1 ], a global disorder parameter and the radii of cylinders, respectively. Here we set $\gamma_{j}=1$ and $\tau=1$. Fig. 10 shows the disorder displacement in the irregular arrangement for sixteen cylinders. This figure is applicable to an array of the circular and elliptic cylinders.


Fig. 10. Disorder displacement in the irregular arrangement for sixteen cylinders
Fig. 11a plots free-surface elevation in the vicinity of sixteen hard circular cylinders with wavenumber ka=4.08482, incident angle. The results show that the disorder treatment can weaken the free-surface elevation on inner sides of the cylinders. The maximum amplitude is 5 times of incident wave.

Fig. 11b represents the free-surface elevation in the vicinity of sixteen hard elliptical cylinders for with wavenumber $k a=3.1497$ incident angle $\theta_{i n c}=0$. For this case, the maximum amplitude is 4.5 times of incident wave.

## 4. Conclusions

We have applied the MFS to the problem of water wave-structure interactions with multiple cylinders. Two types of cylinders, circular and elliptical cylinder structures, are considered. The present method is accurate, efficient and stable. The near-trapped mode phenomena was examined. The maximum amplitude on inner sides of elliptical cylinders is much lower than the one on inner sides of circular cylinders. It is found that the disorder treatment can suppress the occurrence of the near-trapped phenomena. After comparing with the results obtained in the literature, good agreements were observed.


Fig. 11. The free-surface elevation in the vicinity of sixteen hard cylinders with incident angle $\theta_{\text {inc }}=0$ and number of node $N=100$ : (a) Circular cylinders, $k a=4.08482$, (b) elliptical cylinders, $k a=3.1497$
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